

**Mid-Point Peer Review**

**Evaluator Report**

**Name of Institution:** *Click or tap here to enter text.*

**Date:** Click or tap to enter a date.

*Responses provided below should include sufficient analysis to support conclusions and be sufficiently detailed to enable the Commission to conduct an informed, complete review.*

# Section A: Analysis of Responses to Recommendations

*Evaluators should review the “Existing Recommendations” in the Institution Portal.*

1. Was the institution required to provide responses to recommendations in conjunction with the AIU in preparation for the Mid-Point Peer Review?

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No *If “No”, skip the remainder of this section.*

2. For each recommendation, provide a:

* Brief summary of the response(s) provided by the institution.

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

* Concise evaluation of appropriate progress made on each recommendation.

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

* **Complete the section of the Institution Portal on ‘Existing Recommendations.**
* If the institution appears to be making appropriate progress for the recommendation, then select “Complete” from the drop-down.
* If the institution does not appear to be making appropriate progress on the recommendation, then select “Continue” from the drop-down.

# Section B: Analysis of Trends in Student Achievement Indicators

*Based on a review of the data provided in the MPPR Data Report, Institutional Comments, and/or additional uploads (artifacts or alternative measures) provided in the AIU:*

1. Succinct summary of the trends: Click or tap here to enter text.
2. Feedback to the institution: Click or tap here to enter text.
3. Overall Level of Concern

[ ] No/Minimal Concern

[ ] Moderate Concern

[ ] Serious Concern

1. Recommendations (*only in cases where there are moderate or serious concerns*)

[ ] No recommendations

[ ] Recommendations for areas of concern:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

1. Is the link to the institution’s student achievement webpage operational?

Yes [ ]  No [ ]

Did the institution provide alternative student achievement indicators other than graduation rates and financial aid (e.g., default and repayment rates) provided in the MPPR Data Report?

Yes [ ]  No [ ]

1. Is the student achievement information provided in the link reasonably valid and accurate in light of the data, including that from IPEDS?

Yes [ ]  No [ ]

# Section C: Analysis of Trends in Viability and Capacity Indicators (Enrollment)

*Based on a review of the data provided in the MPPR Data Report and Institutional Comments and/or additional uploads (artifacts or alternative measures) provided in the AIU:*

1. Succinct summary of the trends:

 *Click or tap here to enter text.*

2. Feedback for the institution:

 *Click or tap here to enter text.*

3. Overall Level of Concern

[ ] No/Minimal Concern

[ ] Moderate Concern

[ ] Serious Concern

4. Recommendations (*only in cases where there are moderate or serious concerns*)

[ ] No recommendations

[ ] Recommendations for areas of concern:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

# Section D: Analysis of Trends in Financial Indicators

*Based on a review of the data provided in the MPPR Data Report and Institutional Comments and/or additional uploads (artifacts or alternative measures) provided in the AIU:*

1. Succinct summary of the trends:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

2. Feedback for the institution:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

3. Overall Level of Concern

[ ] No/Minimal Concern

[ ] Moderate Concern

[ ] Serious Concern

4. Recommendations (*only in cases where there are moderate or serious concerns*)

[ ] No recommendations

[ ] Recommendations for areas of concern:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*