

**Mid-Point Peer Review**

**Evaluator Report**

**Name of Institution:** *Click or tap here to enter text.*

**Date:** Click or tap to enter a date.

*Responses provided below should include sufficient analysis to support conclusions and be sufficiently detailed to enable the Commission to conduct an informed, complete review.*

# Section A: Analysis of Responses to Recommendations

*Evaluators should review the “Existing Recommendations” in the Institution Portal.*

1. Was the institution required to provide responses to recommendations in conjunction with the AIU in preparation for the Mid-Point Peer Review?

Yes

No *If “No”, skip the remainder of this section.*

2. For each recommendation, provide a:

* Brief summary of the response(s) provided by the institution.

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

* Concise evaluation of appropriate progress made on each recommendation.

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

* **Complete the section of the Institution Portal on ‘Existing Recommendations.**
* If the institution appears to be making appropriate progress for the recommendation, then select “Complete” from the drop-down.
* If the institution does not appear to be making appropriate progress on the recommendation, then select “Continue” from the drop-down.

# Section B: Analysis of Trends in Student Achievement Indicators

*Based on a review of the data provided in the MPPR Data Report, Institutional Comments, and/or additional uploads (artifacts or alternative measures) provided in the AIU:*

1. Succinct summary of the trends: Click or tap here to enter text.
2. Feedback to the institution: Click or tap here to enter text.
3. Overall Level of Concern

No/Minimal Concern

Moderate Concern

Serious Concern

1. Recommendations (*only in cases where there are moderate or serious concerns*)

No recommendations

Recommendations for areas of concern:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

1. Is the link to the institution’s student achievement webpage operational?

Yes  No

Did the institution provide alternative student achievement indicators other than graduation rates and financial aid (e.g., default and repayment rates) provided in the MPPR Data Report?

Yes  No

1. Is the student achievement information provided in the link reasonably valid and accurate in light of the data, including that from IPEDS?

Yes  No

# Section C: Analysis of Trends in Viability and Capacity Indicators (Enrollment)

*Based on a review of the data provided in the MPPR Data Report and Institutional Comments and/or additional uploads (artifacts or alternative measures) provided in the AIU:*

1. Succinct summary of the trends:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

2. Feedback for the institution:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

3. Overall Level of Concern

No/Minimal Concern

Moderate Concern

Serious Concern

4. Recommendations (*only in cases where there are moderate or serious concerns*)

No recommendations

Recommendations for areas of concern:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

# Section D: Analysis of Trends in Financial Indicators

*Based on a review of the data provided in the MPPR Data Report and Institutional Comments and/or additional uploads (artifacts or alternative measures) provided in the AIU:*

1. Succinct summary of the trends:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

2. Feedback for the institution:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

3. Overall Level of Concern

No/Minimal Concern

Moderate Concern

Serious Concern

4. Recommendations (*only in cases where there are moderate or serious concerns*)

No recommendations

Recommendations for areas of concern:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*