Institutions receiving a request for further evidence in conjunction with an AIU

An institution which has received a request for institutional improvement from the Commission via the AIU will update the Commission on its activities in response to that recommendation in conjunction with each Annual Institutional Update until its next Mid-Point Peer Review or Self-Study Evaluation, whichever comes first.

The Commission action language will specifically state, ‘To request that, beginning in [year] and in conjunction with each Annual Institutional Update prior to the Mid-Point Peer Review (or Self-Study), the institution provide further evidence of [identified Standards].’ The action stipulates when the first update should be submitted and the topics it should cover. Only recommendations issued by the Commission using the language above should be reported on at the time of the AIU.

Guidelines for Submission

The update should consist of 1-3 paragraphs (1000 words/6000 characters maximum) for each Commission recommendation referenced in the action.

It should address:

- Actions the institution has taken or plans to take related to the identified Standard(s) and/or
- Outcomes of any actions taken related to the identified Standard(s)

The response may focus on accomplishments and outcomes, action plans, benchmarks, assessment results and/or data trends.

The annual submissions are intended to be iterative and an institution may indicate in an update that no action has been taken in the specified period. However, institutions must keep in mind the date of their next Mid-Point Peer Review or Self-Study Evaluation, as they will need to demonstrate sufficient progress by the time the compilation of updates is reviewed by peers.

It is not possible to upload evidence with the submission of the annual updates. It is the responsibility of the institution to provide all necessary evidence during the next Self-Study Evaluation to verify progress toward addressing the Commission’s concerns in the specified period.